

Senate, Speaker of the House of Delegates, and Chairmen of the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee, House Appropriations Committee, Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee, and House Judiciary Committee of the General Assembly:

(1) on or before December 31, 2004, a 10-year Master Facility Plan for detention; and

(2) (i) on or before December 31, 2005, a final 10-year Master Facility Plan for facilities for all locked and staff secure detention and commitment facilities operated or contracted for by the Department, including the Cheltenham Youth Facility, the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School, and the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center; and

(ii) on or before December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005, status reports on the development of the 10-year Master Facility Plan for facilities:

(b) The Master Facility Plans required under this section shall be comprehensive analyses of the risks and needs of youth referred to the Department and shall reflect a reduced reliance on large locked institutions by:

(1) planning for smaller, secure facilities located near the areas where the youths served by the facilities live; and

(2) placing appropriate youth in community-based settings or at home under adequate supervision:

(1) on or before January 15, 2006, a Facilities Master Plan that is consistent with the principles described in Section 3 of this Act; and

(2) as part of its development of a Facilities Master Plan, on or before December 31, 2004, a report on:

(i) the total population of youths the Department anticipates serving;

(ii) the manner in which the Department intends to serve that population that maximizes the use of regional, community-based settings;

(iii) the ideal service delivery system required to serve that population in regional community-based settings based on best practices, including an assessment of educational programming, somatic, mental health, and substance abuse services, family support services, informal supervision, shelter care, aftercare, care of detained and committed youths, and services to address gender-specific needs;

(iv) the justification for any programming that is determined necessary to be provided statewide, rather than regionally;

(v) a description of the outcome measures that the Department intends to use to assess the efficacy of the service delivery system to be developed; and

(vi) a gap analysis of currently available State and community-based service capacity compared to the ideal service delivery system; and